

PřírodovědeckáJihočeská univerzitafakultav Českých BudějovicíchFacultyUniversity of South Bohemiaof Sciencein České Budějovice

## **OPPONENT'S REVIEW ON BACHELOR/DIPLOMA<sup>\*</sup> THESIS**

Name of the student:

Thesis title: Supervisor:

## **Referee:** Referee`s affiliation:

	Point scale <sup>1</sup>	Point
(1) FORMAL REQUIREMENTS		
<b>Extent of the thesis</b> (for bachelor theses min. 18 pages, for masters theses min. 25 pages), <b>balanced length of the thesis parts</b> (recommended length of the theoretical part is max. 1/3 of the total length), <b>logical structure of the thesis</b>	0-3	
<b>Quality of the theoretical part (review)</b> (number and relevancy of the references, recency of the references)	0-3	
Accuracy in citing of the references (presence of uncited sources, uniform style of the references, use of correct journal titles and abbreviations)	0-3	
Graphic layout of the text and of the figures/tables	0-3	
Quality of the annotation	0-3	
Language and stylistics, complying with the valid terminology	0-3	
Accuracy and completeness of figures/tables legends (clarity without reading the rest of the text, explanation of the symbols and labeling, indication of the units)	0-3	
Formal requirements – points in total		
(2) PRACTICAL REQUIREMENTS		
Clarity and fulfillment of the aims	0-3	
Ability to understand the results, their interpretation, and clarity of the results, discussion, and conclusions	0-3	
<b>Discussion quality – interpretation of the results and their discussion with the literature</b> (absence of discussion with the literature is not acceptable)	0-3	
Logic in the course of the experimental work	0-3	
Completeness of the description of the used techniques	0-3	

Choose one

<sup>1</sup> Mark as: 0-unsatisfactory, 1-satisfactory, 2-average, 3-excellent.

Experimental difficulty of the thesis, independence in experimental work	0-3	
Quality of experimental data presentation	0-3	
The use of up-to-date techniques	0-3	
Contribution of the thesis to the knowledge in the field and possibility to publish the results (after eventual supplementary experiments)	0-3	
Practical requirements – points in total		
POINTS IN TOTAL (MAX/AWARDED)	48	(0- 48) <sup>2</sup>

Comments of the reviewer on the student and the thesis:

## Suggestions and questions, to which the student has to answer during the defense. Mistakes, which the students should avoid in the future:

Conclusion:

In conclusion, I

## recommend/do not recommend\*

the thesis for the defense and I suggest the grade .3

In date

.....

signature

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Enter the number of points awarded.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> You can suggest a grade, which can be modified during the defense based on the presentation. However, if the reviewer is not present at the defense, the grade will not be counted. Grades: excellent (1). Very good (2), Good (3), Unsatisfactory/failed (4).